



IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE
STATE OF DELAWARE

IN RE INTEGRATED TELECOM EXPRESS,)
INC.)

C.A. No. 2215-VCL

TENTH QUARTERLY REPORT OF RECEIVER

James Williams, in his capacity as Receiver for Integrated Telecom Express, Inc. files this quarterly report.

1. On June 29, 2006, this Court appointed me as Receiver for Integrated Telecom Express, Inc. ("ITeX"), a dissolved Delaware corporation, pursuant to Section 279 of the Delaware Corporation Law.

2. As of the end of the quarter ended September 30, 2008, the liquid assets of ITeX were approximately \$1,119,000 of cash located at Silicon Valley Bank in Santa Clara, California. The liabilities of ITeX at September 30, 2008 were approximately \$126,000, consisting primarily of cash not distributed during the initial distribution for shares, which were not tendered, to the transfer agent. Prior years Federal Tax returns have been filed or are in the process of being filed by ITeX's independent accountants. At this time I do not foresee the need for income tax accruals.

3. In addition, a class action lawsuit has been filed against ITeX, and certain of its directors and officers and its investment bank underwriters for violation of the federal securities laws in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. These cases have been coordinated for pretrial proceedings as In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, 21 MC 92 (SAS). On June 25, 2007, U.S. District Judge Scheindlin entered an order terminating the proposed settlement based on a stipulation


among the parties to the settlement. Plaintiffs filed amended master allegations and amended complaints in six test cases that were selected by plaintiffs and underwriter defendants in the coordinated proceedings, which the defendants in those cases moved to dismiss. Plaintiffs also moved for class certification in the six focus cases, which the defendants in those cases opposed. On March 26, 2008, the Court largely denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaints. It is uncertain whether there will be any revised or future settlement. ITeX believes that it and the individual defendants have meritorious defenses to the claims made in the complaints and intends to contest the lawsuit vigorously.

4. The California Franchise Tax Board has requested information on the status of the previous Bankruptcy filed in 2002 by ITeX to determine whether an audit of the company's sales tax returns was appropriate. At this time the California Franchise Tax Board has not scheduled an audit, however it has left open the possibility that any audit of years 2002 through 2005 could take place. During the audit period ITeX had no sales activities and all documents have been filed with the State of California, and are in good order.

5. ITeX will continue to maintain its web site until a final distribution of cash occurs and the office is officially closed. The web site is the major information source for remaining shareholders.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 3, 2008


James E. Williams